(Elizabeth.A.Franklin@usace.army.mil)[Elizabeth.A.Franklin@usace.army.mil]; Frantz, Aaron[FrantzAR@cdmsmith.com]; Wands, James[James.Wands@hdrinc.com] From: LaPoma, Jennifer Sent: Tue 10/4/2016 3:41:30 PM Subject: CPG's proposed chemicals for calibration - follow up 20160914 COPC CFT List to R2.pdf Rob, We have reviewed the attached memorandum on the CPG's proposed chemicals for calibration. As we discussed at the June 28, 2016 meeting the goal of the memorandum was to identify chemicals for detailed calibration accounting for risk and chemical properties. The goal is outlined in the summary of the meeting that was prepared by the CPG with edits made by the EPA. The edits were provided to the CPG on July 26, 2016. An explanation should be provided that clarifies the application of the regressions in the detailed calibration of the model. Also, DDx was identified as an ecological COC for the Lower 8.3 Miles of the Lower Passaic River. This compound group, and any other ecological COPCs, should also be considered in the memorandum. Additionally, at the September 20, 2016 meeting the EPA team, referring to Table 1 of the memorandum, requested that the CPG update the site specific three phase POC partition coefficients and revise the risk column to show the calculated risk rather than ranges. Once the regression application explanation and the finalized table is provided by the CPG, the EPA will provide feedback to the CPG on the final list of contaminants for detailed model calibration. Please include those that are cc'ed on this email in your response. Thanks, Jennifer LaPoma

Robert Law[rlaw@demaximis.com]; Willard Potter[otto@demaximis.com]

To:

Cc:

Elizabeth A NWK Franklin